

Lincoln D. Bandlow, Esq. (CA #170449)  
Lincoln@BandlowLaw.com  
**Law Offices of Lincoln Bandlow, PC**  
1801 Century Park East, Suite 2400  
Los Angeles, CA 90067  
Phone: (310) 556-9680  
Fax: (310) 861-5550

Attorney for Plaintiff  
Strike 3 Holdings, LLC

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
**SACRAMENTO DIVISION**

STRIKE 3 HOLDINGS, LLC,  
  
Plaintiff,

vs.

JOHN DOE subscriber assigned IP address  
73.90.192.52,  
  
Defendant.

Case Number:

**COMPLAINT FOR COPYRIGHT  
INFRINGEMENT - DEMAND FOR JURY  
TRIAL**

Plaintiff, Strike 3 Holdings, LLC (“Strike 3” or “Plaintiff”), brings this complaint against Defendant, John Doe subscriber assigned IP address 73.90.192.52 (“Defendant”), and alleges as follows:

**Introduction**

1. This is a case about the ongoing and wholesale copyright infringement of Plaintiff’s motion pictures by Defendant, currently known only by an IP address.

2. Plaintiff is the owner of award-winning, critically acclaimed adult motion pictures.

3. Strike 3’s motion pictures are distributed through the *Blacked*, *Tushy*, *Vixen*, and *Blacked Raw* adult websites and DVDs. With millions of unique visitors to its websites each month, the brands are famous for redefining adult content, creating high-end, artistic, and performer-inspiring motion pictures produced with a Hollywood style budget and quality.

6. This is a civil action seeking damages under the United States Copyright Act of 1976, *as amended*, 17 U.S.C. §§ 101 et seq. (the “Copyright Act”).

7. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question); and 28 U.S.C. § 1338 (jurisdiction over copyright actions).

9. Plaintiff used IP address geolocation technology by Maxmind Inc. (“Maxmind”), an industry-leading provider of IP address intelligence and online fraud detection tools, to determine that Defendant’s IP address traced to a physical address in this District. Over 5,000 companies, along with United States federal and state law enforcement, use Maxmind’s GeoIP data to locate Internet visitors, perform analytics, enforce digital rights, and efficiently route Internet traffic.

10. To ensure that Defendant's IP address accurately traced to this District, Plaintiff inputted Defendant's IP address into Maxmind's GeoIP database twice: first when it learned of the infringement and again, just prior to filing this lawsuit.

12. Plaintiff, Strike 3 is a Delaware limited liability company located at 2140 S. Dupont Hwy, Camden, DE.

## Factual Background

14. Strike 3's subscription-based websites proudly boast a paid subscriber base that is one of the highest of any adult-content sites in the world. Strike 3 also licenses its motion pictures to popular broadcasters and Strike 3's motion pictures are the number one selling adult DVDs in the United States.

15. Strike 3's motion pictures and websites have won numerous awards, such as "best cinematography," "best new studio," and "adult site of the year."

16. Strike 3's motion pictures have had positive global impact, leading more adult studios to invest in better content, higher pay for performers, and to treat each performer with respect and like an artist.

17. Unfortunately, like a large number of other makers of motion picture and television works, Strike 3 is the victim of mass Internet piracy. Often appearing among the most infringed popular entertainment content on torrent websites, Strike 3's motion pictures are among the most pirated content in the world.

***Defendant Used the BitTorrent File Distribution Network  
to Infringe Plaintiff's Copyrights***

18. BitTorrent is a system designed to quickly distribute large files over the Internet. Instead of downloading a file, such as a movie, from a single source, BitTorrent users are able to connect to the computers of other BitTorrent users in order to simultaneously download and upload pieces of the file from and to other users.

19. BitTorrent's popularity stems from the ability of users to directly interact with each other to distribute a large file without creating a heavy load on any individual source computer and/or network. It enables Plaintiff's motion pictures, which are often filmed in state of the art 4kHD, to be transferred quickly and efficiently.

20. To share a movie within the BitTorrent network, a user first uses BitTorrent software to create a .torrent file from the original digital media file. This process breaks the original digital media file down into numerous pieces.

21. The entire movie file being shared has a hash value (*i.e.*, the "File Hash"). A hash value is an alpha-numeric value of a fixed length that uniquely identifies data.

22. Hash values are not arbitrarily assigned to data merely for identification purposes, but rather are the product of a cryptographic algorithm applied to the data itself. As such, while two identical sets of data will produce the same cryptographic hash value, any change to the underlying data – no matter how small – will change the cryptographic hash value that correlates to it.

23. To find and re-assemble the pieces of the digital media file, *i.e.*, to download the file using BitTorrent, a user must obtain the .torrent file for the specific file that has been broken down into pieces.

24. Each .torrent file contains important metadata with respect to the pieces of the file. When this data is put into the cryptographic algorithm, it results in a hash value called the "Info Hash."

25. The "Info Hash" is the data that the BitTorrent protocol uses to identify and locate the other pieces of the desired file (in this case, the desired file is the respective file for the

1 infringing motion pictures that are the subject of this action) across the BitTorrent network.

2       26. Using the Info Hash in the metadata of a .torrent file, a user may collect all the  
3 pieces of the digital media file that correlates with the specific .torrent file.

4       27. Once a user downloads all of the pieces of that digital media file from other  
5 BitTorrent users, the digital media file is automatically reassembled into its original form, ready  
6 for playing.

7       28. Plaintiff has developed, owns, and operates an infringement detection system,  
8 named “VXN Scan.”

9       29. Using VXN Scan, Plaintiff discovered that Defendant used the BitTorrent file  
10 network to illegally download and distribute Plaintiff’s copyrighted motion pictures.

11       30. To explain, while Defendant was using the BitTorrent file distribution network,  
12 VXN Scan established direct TCP/IP connections with Defendant’s IP address.

13       31. VXN Scan downloaded from Defendant one or more pieces of numerous digital  
14 media files.

15       32. Plaintiff identified these pieces as portions of infringing copies of Strike 3’s  
16 motion pictures.

17       33. To explain, the VXN Scan system first searched for and obtained .torrent files  
18 claiming to be infringing copies of Plaintiff’s works, and then downloaded complete copies of the  
19 digital media files that correlate to those .torrent files.

20       34. Plaintiff then compared the completed digital media files to Plaintiff’s copyrighted  
21 works to determine whether they are infringing copies of one of Plaintiff’s copyrighted works.

22       35. The digital media files have been verified to contain a digital copy of a motion  
23 picture that is identical (or, alternatively, strikingly similar or substantially similar) to Plaintiff’s  
24 corresponding original copyrighted Works.

25       36. VXN Scan then used the “Info Hash” value, contained within the metadata of the  
26 .torrent file correlated with a digital media file that was determined to be identical (or substantially  
27 similar) to a copyrighted work, to download a piece (or pieces) of the same digital media file from  
28 Defendant using the BitTorrent network.

1 37. At no point did VXN Scan upload content to any BitTorrent user. Indeed, it is  
2 incapable of doing so.

3 38. The VXN Scan captured transactions from Defendant sharing specific pieces of  
4 36 digital media files that have been determined to be identical (or substantially similar) to a  
5 copyrighted work(s) that Plaintiff owns.

6 39. VXN Scan recorded each transaction in a PCAP file.

7 40. VXN Scan recorded multiple transactions in this matter.

8 41. For each work infringed a single transaction is listed on Exhibit A.

9 42. For each transaction listed, Exhibit A sets forth the Universal Time Coordinated  
10 (UTC) time and date of each transaction, along with (1) the Info Hash value obtained from the  
11 metadata of the corresponding .torrent file that formed the basis of the VXN Scan's request for  
12 data, and (2) the File Hash value of the digital media file itself.

13 43. Exhibit A also sets forth relevant copyright information for each work at issue:  
14 the date of publication, the date of registration, and the work's copyright registration number. In  
15 a showing of good faith, Plaintiff has intentionally omitted the title of the work from this public  
16 filing due to the adult nature of its content, but can provide a version of Exhibit A containing the  
17 works' titles to the Court or any party upon request.

18 44. Thus, Defendant downloaded, copied, and distributed Plaintiff's Works without  
19 authorization.

20 45. Defendant's infringement was continuous and ongoing.

21 46. Plaintiff owns the copyrights to the Works and the Works have been registered  
22 with the United States Copyright Office.

23 47. Plaintiff seeks statutory damages, attorneys' fees, and costs under 17 U.S.C. § 501  
24 of the United States Copyright Act.

25 **COUNT I**

26 **Direct Copyright Infringement**

27 48. The allegations contained in paragraphs 1-47 are hereby re-alleged as if fully set  
28 forth herein.

1           49. Plaintiff is the owner of the Works, which are each an original work of authorship.

2           50. Defendant copied and distributed the constituent elements of Plaintiff's Works  
3 using the BitTorrent protocol.

4           51. At no point in time did Plaintiff authorize, permit or consent to Defendant's  
5 copying, distribution, performance and/or display of its Works, expressly or otherwise.

6           52. As a result of the foregoing, Defendant violated Plaintiff's exclusive right to:

7           (A) Reproduce its Works in copies, in violation of 17 U.S.C. §§ 106(1) and 501;

8           (B) Distribute copies of the Works to the public by sale or other transfer of ownership,  
9 or by rental, lease or lending, in violation of 17 U.S.C. §§ 106(3) and 501;

10          (C) Perform the copyrighted Works, in violation of 17 U.S.C. §§ 106(4) and 501, by  
11 showing the Works' images in any sequence and/or by making the sounds accompanying the  
12 Works' audible and transmitting said performance of the work, by means of a device or process,  
13 to members of the public capable of receiving the display (as set forth in 17 U.S.C. § 101's  
14 definitions of "perform" and "publicly" perform); and

15          (D) Display the copyrighted Works, in violation of 17 U.S.C. §§ 106(5) and 501, by  
16 showing individual images of the works non-sequentially and transmitting said display of the  
17 works by means of a device or process to members of the public capable of receiving the display  
18 (as set forth in 17 U.S.C. § 101's definition of "publicly" display).

19          53. Defendant's infringements were committed "willfully" within the meaning of  
20 17 U.S.C. § 504(c)(2).

21          WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court:

22          (A) Permanently enjoin Defendant from continuing to infringe Plaintiff's copyrighted  
23 Works;

24          (B) Order that Defendant delete and permanently remove the digital media files  
25 relating to Plaintiff's Works from each of the computers under Defendant's possession, custody  
26 or control;

27          (C) Order that Defendant delete and permanently remove the infringing copies of the  
28 Works Defendant has on computers under Defendant's possession, custody or control;

1 (D) Award Plaintiff statutory damages per infringed work pursuant to  
2 17 U.S.C. § 504(a) and (c);

3 (E) Award Plaintiff its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to  
4 17 U.S.C. § 505; and

5 (F) Grant Plaintiff any other and further relief this Court deems just and proper.

6 DATED this 6<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2022.

7 **Law Offices of Lincoln Bandlow, PC**

8 *s/ Lincoln D. Bandlow*

9 Lincoln D. Bandlow  
10 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Strike 3 Holdings, LLC

11 **DEMAND FOR A JURY TRIAL**

12 Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

13 DATED this 6<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2022.

14 **Law Offices of Lincoln Bandlow, PC**

15 *s/ Lincoln D. Bandlow*

16 Lincoln D. Bandlow  
17 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Strike 3 Holdings, LLC  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28